

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

Writ Petition No.3397 of 2007
[Triratna General Kamgar Union Vs. Amravati University]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. M.R. Pillai, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. S.G. Loney, Advocate for the respondent
sole.

CORAM : A.H. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 05th December, 2007.

1. Heard.
2. Petitioner filed an application under Section 10 [4] of the Industrial Disputes Act [Annex.VIII] claiming the relief that the respondent be given a direction to employ the members of petitioner-Union during pendency of the case.
3. The application has been rejected by impugned order.
4. In the Affidavit-in-Reply filed in this Court, learned Advocate Mr. Loney for the respondent-University has offered as follows:-
.....Whenever the work is

available in the Central Assessment Centre it is provided on job work.

5. Learned Advocate Mr. Loney further adds that every year there is increased need of persons due to casual rise in work of the Confidential Section of the University, and unless a candidate is ineligible to participate in a particular year on account of his relative etc., being a candidate in the examination, all the twelve members of the petitioner-Union will be offered work.

6. With this clarification made by learned Advocate for the respondent, the petitioner is satisfied.

7. In view of this, no further orders are necessary. Petition is disposed of.

Judge

| Hedau |